

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/028,052	FLOYD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	1732

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Mark Eashoo, Ph.D. (3) _____.

(2) Douglas B. Little. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 September 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

34-35

Prior art documents discussed:

that cited.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A proposed examiner's amendment to make claim 34 commensurate in scope to claim 1 by inserting a limitation that places the laminate chamber in a die portion. Other corrections regarding typographical errors were also discussed.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)